	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/566,052	HOFFMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL LA VILLA	1784
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) MICHAEL LA VILLA.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Marantidis, Attny.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 April 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: 1, 15, and 17		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the Exami amendments to Claims 1 and 17 rectify informalities. Claim 15 8	ner's Amendment that accompanie	es the Notice of Allowability. The
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/MICHAEL LA VILLA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1784	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
		· ·